Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/014,774	D'AZZO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Christian L. Fronda	1652

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Christian L. Fronda and Ponnathapura Achutamurthy.	(3) <u>Paul Fehlner</u> .			
(2) <u>Paul Zagar</u> .	(4) <u>Scott Elmer</u> .			
Date of Interview: <u>19 January 2006</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)⊡ applicant's representative	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>9,10,13,15-17,19 and 20</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	n)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/014,774

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants presented arguments to traverse the rejection of the claims under 112, 1st paragraph, enablement. Applicants' position is that isolating the claimed nucleic acids from cardiac and skeletal muscle and sequencing the claimed nucleic acids to determine the percent identity to SEQ ID NO: 2 requires less than routine skill in the art. Applicants argue regarding claim 20 is that using routine sequencing one of skill in the art can easily determine whether the nucleic acid is a PPCA exon Ia and then exclude it from the scope of the claimed nucleic acid. The examiner will contact applicants after consulting the technology center biotechnology specialists regarding the claims and rejections of record.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.usplogov

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 19 Jan 2006		
To: Paul Zagar	From: Christian L. Fronda	
Application/Control Number: 10/014,774	Art Unit: 1652 Phone No.: (571)272-0929	
Fax No.: (212)527-7701		
Voice No.:	Return Fax No.: (571) 273-8300	
Re:	CC:	
☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ For Comment	For Reply Per Your Request	
Comments:		

Attached is the interview summary for Serial No. 10/014,774.

Number of pages 3 including this page

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450